

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,394	AHN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Peter Szekely.

(3) _____.

 (2) Maria Tungol.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 November 2006
Time: 4:00 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:


Claims 9, 18 and 28.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The redundant phrase in claim 9 will be deleted, claim 18 will be made complete and in claim 28 the phrase having no antecedent basis in claim 26 will be deleted.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)